

Wang Fuk Court - response to Lo and Lo Final Report.pdf

Joseph Chi [josephwjchi@[REDACTED]]

Sent: Thursday, August 20, 2026 16:03

To: Tony Tam

Categories: Yellow Category

Attachments: Wang Fuk Court - response ~1.pdf (102 KB) ; ATT00002.txt (87 B)

Good afternoon Mr. Tam,

My response as attached for your kind consideration please, thanks.

Please be clarified that my main responsibility on the window replacement minor work is to ensure the completed window replacement is structurally safe or not. Whether or not the original window is fire rated or smoke proof is not my areas of knowledge or responsibility. Whether or not the window opening covered by board is fire proof or smoke proof is also not my areas of knowledge or my areas of expertise or my responsibility.

Thanks very much, Mr Tam

Best regards

Joseph Chi

1. At page 22 of 627, Table 2-2: Subcontractors and Sub-subcontractors in the BMR Works, description should be “Registered Structural Engineer engaged by Allied Architects as a structural consultant, to endorse structural calculations provided by others, for the staircase window replacement minor works, and to inspect the completed window replacement minor works, so as to ensure the completed window is structurally safe, in all eight blocks.”
2. At page 111 of 627, para. 238.5, the description should be “Mr. Joseph Chi confirmed that he was engaged by Allied Architects as a structural consultant, pursuant to a verbal agreement. He claimed to have verified the structural drawing and structural calculations prepared by others, for the staircase window replacement minor works, before submission to the ICU, and to have personally carried out site inspections on the structural aspect of the completed window replacement minor works.”
3. At page 111 of 627, para. 239, I wish to clarify that “I am aware of the openings covered by board, but do not aware of the use of the openings covered by board”.
4. At page 112 of 627, para. 239.2, description should be “In Mr. Joseph Chi’s response to the Committee, he confirmed that he noticed there are window openings covered by board at staircase at somewhat regular storeys. However, he claimed that his main responsibility on the window replacement minor works is to ensure the completed window is structurally safe.”
5. At page 334 of 627, para. 779, I wish to clarify that I was engaged by Allied Architects as her structural consultant in the window replacement minor works. Whether or not the original windows were fire-rated or smoke proof are not my areas of knowledge nor my areas of responsibility. I am not an expert to recognize them as a fire hazard or not.
6. At page 335 of 627, para.781.2, I wish to clarify that I was engaged by Allied Architects as her structural consultant in the window replacement minor works. I am not a bystander on the matter. My main responsibility on the window replacement minor works is to ensure the completed window is structurally safe. The progress and the process of the window replacement work is not my area of responsibility.